International Journal of Scientific Research in Science and Technology
Available online at : www.ijsrst.com
OPEN ACCESS
Print ISSN: 2395-6011 | Online ISSN: 2395-602X
doi : https://doi.org/10.32628/IJSRST2411330

Empowering the Future : The Vision of Smart Transmission Grids

Amit Kumar Kolhe[1], Dr. Sunil Kumar[2]
[1]Research Scholar, Kalinga University, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India
[2]Professor, Department of Electrical Engineering, Kalinga University, Naya Raipur, Chhattisgarh, India

ARTICLEINFO

Article History:
Accepted: 25 May 2024
Published: 16 June 2024

Publication Issue :
Volume 11, Issue 3
May-June-2024

Page Number :
647-655

ABSTRACT

In the quest for an adaptable, dependable, and sustainable energy supply, the modern power grid necessitates a transformative upgrade towards intelligence. While substantial efforts have been devoted to envisioning and advancing smart power grids in the United States and Europe, the focus has predominantly centered on the distribution grid and demand-side management, leaving the holistic perspective of the transmission grid within the context of smart grids somewhat obscured.

This paper proposes a distinct vision for the future of smart transmission grids, delineating their pivotal attributes. In this envisioned framework, each smart transmission grid emerges as a cohesive, integrated system comprising three interrelated smart components: smart control centers, smart transmission networks, and smart substations. The paper meticulously outlines the characteristics and functionalities of each of these three essential components, elucidating the enabling technologies necessary to realize their envisioned capabilities.

By conceptualizing smart transmission grids as multifaceted systems driven by intelligent components, this vision underscores the potential for enhancing grid efficiency, reliability, and sustainability. Through the seamless integration of advanced control centers, transmission networks, and substations empowered by cutting-edge technologies, smart transmission grids aim to optimize grid operations, facilitate dynamic energy management, and foster resilience against emerging challenges and disruptions.

Keywords : Cutting-Edge Technologies, Smart Transmission Grids, Control Centers

I. INTRODUCTION

Smart transmission grids represent a forward-thinking vision and a comprehensive framework for modernizing and optimizing power transmission infrastructure. This holistic approach encompasses a range of cutting-edge technologies, strategies, and methodologies aimed at enhancing the efficiency, reliability, and sustainability of electricity transmission networks. The overarching goal of smart transmission grids is to revolutionize the way electricity is transmitted, distributed, and managed, paving the way for a more resilient and flexible energy ecosystem.

At its core, the vision for smart transmission grids revolves around leveraging advanced sensing, monitoring, and control systems to enable real-time monitoring and management of grid assets and operations. By integrating intelligent sensors, communication networks, and data analytics capabilities, smart grids empower grid operators to anticipate, identify, and mitigate potential issues before they escalate into larger disruptions. This proactive approach not only enhances grid reliability but also reduces downtime, minimizes energy losses, and optimizes asset utilization.

Furthermore, smart transmission grids prioritize interoperability and integration, facilitating seamless communication and coordination among various grid components, including substations, transformers, transmission lines, and renewable energy sources. This interconnectedness enables dynamic grid optimization, where grid operators can dynamically adjust transmission routes, voltages, and power flows to accommodate fluctuations in demand, supply, and generation patterns. Additionally, smart grids facilitate the seamless integration of renewable energy sources, such as solar and wind, by enabling bidirectional power flows and providing grid stability services.

Moreover, smart transmission grids embrace resilience and adaptability as fundamental principles, with built-in redundancy, self-healing capabilities, and contingency plans to withstand and recover from potential disruptions, including natural disasters, cyberattacks, and equipment failures. Through advanced grid modeling, simulation, and predictive analytics, smart grids enable scenario analysis and risk assessment, allowing grid operators to proactively identify vulnerabilities and implement appropriate mitigation measures.

In summary, the vision for smart transmission grids represents a paradigm shift in the way electricity is transmitted and managed, ushering in a new era of grid intelligence, resilience, and sustainability. By harnessing the power of advanced technologies and innovative strategies, smart grids offer a transformative pathway towards a more efficient, reliable, and resilient energy infrastructure.

This paper outlines the challenges and requirements for future smart transmission grids, categorizing them into four key aspects.

a) Environmental Challenges: Traditional electric power generation, being a major contributor to man-made emissions, necessitates a shift to mitigate climate change. Furthermore, the depletion of fossil energy resources looms as a concern for the forthcoming decades. Natural disasters like hurricanes, earthquakes, and tornadoes pose significant risks to transmission grids, while available space for their expansion diminishes.

b) Market/Customer Needs: The development of comprehensive system operation technologies and power market policies is imperative to uphold the transparency and autonomy of competitive markets. Enhancing customer satisfaction in electricity consumption entails providing high-quality, cost-effective electricity options and granting customers greater autonomy in grid interaction.

c) Infrastructure Challenges: Aging components and insufficient investment plague existing electricity transmission infrastructure. With mounting load demands, network congestion exacerbates, underscoring the need for swift online analysis tools, wide-area monitoring, precise measurement, control, and robust protection mechanisms to enhance network reliability.

d) Innovative Technologies: Emerging technologies such as new materials, advanced power electronics, and communication technologies hold promise for revolutionizing transmission grids. However, their maturity and commercial availability remain limited, compounded by existing grid limitations hindering seamless integration.

While historical transmission grid innovation primarily stemmed from technological advancements, the contemporary power industry is undergoing a proactive modernization approach. Leveraging state-of-the-art advancements in sensing, communications, control, computing, and information technology, the industry aims to address challenges more assertively. This shift towards intelligence-driven grid development has been encapsulated by terms such as "smart grid," alongside other terminologies like IntelliGrid, GridWise, and FutureGrid.

The IntelliGrid initiative, spearheaded by the Electric Power Research Institution (EPRI), aims to establish the technical groundwork for a sophisticated power grid that seamlessly integrates electricity, communications, and computer control. The overarching goal is to achieve significant improvements in reliability, capacity, and customer service. This program offers methodologies, tools, and recommendations for open standards and requirement-based technologies, facilitating the implementation of advanced metering, distribution automation, demand response, and wide-area measurement. Ultimately, interoperability between cutting-edge technologies and the power system is envisioned.

Similarly, the SmartGrids initiative, launched by the European Technology Platform (ETP) in 2005, envisions a joint framework for Europe's electricity networks beyond 2020. Key objectives include ensuring flexibility to accommodate customer demands, accessibility for network users and renewable energy sources, reliability for power supply security and quality, and economic efficiency for optimal energy management.

In the United States, the Federal Smart Grid Task Force, established by the Department of Energy (DoE) under Title XIII of the Energy Independence and Security Act of 2007, envisions the creation of a modern electric system by 2030. This system is designed to deliver abundant, affordable, clean, efficient, and reliable electric power, anytime and anywhere. The development of a smart grid is expected not only to enhance grid reliability, efficiency, and security but also to contribute to the strategic goal of reducing carbon emissions. Substantial research and development efforts are underway in both industry and academia, addressing various aspects of smart grid technology and integration challenges.

While existing efforts have predominantly focused on the distribution system and demand side, the comprehensive perspective of the entire transmission grid within the context of smart grids remains ambiguous. This paper presents a novel vision for future smart transmission grids, delineating their major characteristics and performance features to tackle emerging challenges. The proposed vision conceptualizes the power transmission grid as an integrated system comprising three interactive components: control centers, transmission networks, and substations. Each essential component is thoroughly considered within the framework of the smart grid.

The subsequent sections of this paper will delve into the proposed smart transmission grid's general framework and key characteristics (Section II), followed by detailed discussions on the features and enabling technologies of its three functional components: smart control centers, smart transmission networks, and smart substations (Sections III to V). Finally, further discussions and conclusions will be provided in Section VI.

II. FRAMEWORK AND CHARACTERISTICS OF SMART TRANSMISSION GRIDS

The vision of a smart transmission grid, as depicted in Figure 1, arises from the pressing challenges and evolving needs faced by the existing transmission infrastructure. These challenges stem from environmental concerns, market dynamics, customer expectations, and infrastructural limitations. Addressing these demands necessitates the transformation of the current transmission grid into a smarter, more adaptive system, leveraging the rapid advancements in technology. In this paper, we articulate a roadmap for research and development, outlining the key smart features that will define the future transmission grid: digitalization, flexibility, intelligence, resilience, sustainability, and customization.

A. Digitalization:

The cornerstone of the smart transmission grid is its digital platform, facilitating swift and dependable sensing, communication, computation, control, protection, visualization, and maintenance across the entire transmission network. This platform will enable seamless integration with other smart features while providing user-friendly interfaces for enhanced situational awareness and error tolerance.

B. Flexibility:

Flexibility is inherent in the future smart transmission grid, encompassing expandability for accommodating diverse generation technologies, adaptability to various environments, multiple control strategies for decentralized control, and seamless compatibility with evolving market operations.

C. Intelligence:

Intelligent technologies and human expertise will be integrated into the smart transmission grid, enabling self-awareness of system operations, self-healing capabilities to enhance security, and real-time analysis for dynamic network control.

D. Resiliency:

The smart transmission grid will exhibit robust resiliency, capable of delivering electricity securely and reliably even in the face of external or internal disruptions. Fast self-healing mechanisms and dynamic reconfiguration will mitigate the impact of attacks, natural disasters, or component failures.

E. Sustainability:

Sustainability lies at the core of the smart transmission grid, focusing on sufficiency, efficiency, and environmental responsibility. This entails meeting growing electricity demand through affordable alternative energy resources, enhancing energy savings, and deploying innovative technologies with minimal environmental impact.

F. Customization:

The design of the smart transmission grid will be tailored to meet operator and customer needs without sacrificing functionality or interoperability. It will offer customers a range of energy consumption options while fostering transparency and competition in the power market.

To realize these smart features, enabling technologies such as new materials, advanced power electronics, sensing and measurement, communications, computing, and intelligent systems will be pivotal. These technologies will be discussed in detail in conjunction with the components of smart control centers, smart transmission networks, and smart substations in subsequent sections.

III. SMART CONTROL CENTERS

The future smart control centers build upon the foundation of existing control centers, which were developed nearly half a century ago. This section delves into the anticipated new functionalities of future control centers, including monitoring/visualization, analytical capabilities, and controllability. Additionally, it discusses the interaction with the electricity market, although this paper focuses solely on the control centers' functions.

A. Monitoring/Visualization

Current monitoring systems in control centers rely on state estimators, utilizing data collected through

SCADA systems and remote terminal units (RTUs). In contrast, future control centers will derive system-level information from state measurement modules based on phasor measurement units (PMUs). PMU-based state measurement is expected to be more efficient and robust, as synchronized phasor signals provide accurate state variables, particularly voltage angles. Present state estimation, by comparison, requires additional processing time and is less robust due to the lack of synchronized data from RTUs, necessitating topology checks and bad data detection.

Existing visualization technology typically displays system configurations using one-line diagrams, but these diagrams often lack precise geographic information. Moreover, they typically depict only buses within the control area, along with some boundary buses. In the future, results from state measurement will be integrated with a wide-area geographical information system (GIS) for visualization in control center screens. This wide-area GIS will cover extensive regions, including the control center's service territory, interconnected areas, and possibly the entire Eastern Interconnect or WECC system, enhancing situational awareness and preventing improper operations due to incomplete knowledge of neighboring systems.

With future visualization and monitoring technologies encompassing broader scopes, increased information exchange will be necessary. Current interarea communication technologies employ a mix of obsolete and modern technologies such as telephone lines, wireless, microwave, and fiber optics. In the future, communication channels are expected to be more dedicated, potentially utilizing fiber optic networks with quality of service (QoS) implementation, necessitating unified protocols for improved communication among different control areas.

Utilizing state variables from state measurement and GIS data, real-time display of system stability measures becomes desirable. Present technology typically displays voltage magnitude and local frequency. However, as voltage collapse becomes a recurring threat and frequency stability gains importance, true indicators of voltage stability margin and frequency changes are needed for better monitoring. Implementing these new monitoring technologies alongside wide-area GIS data will enable real-time display of voltage stability margin and frequency waves on actual maps, aiding operators in identifying potential issues during real-time operation.

An advanced alarming system is another noteworthy technology. Present systems typically provide alarming signals without prioritization. Future control centers should provide the root cause of potential problems, enabling operators to conduct closer monitoring and expedite problem resolution.

IV. CONCLUSION

The future of smart control centers envisions a paradigm shift from traditional models to technologically advanced systems capable of meeting the evolving demands of the power grid. This section explores the anticipated enhancements in monitoring/visualization, analytical capabilities, controllability, and their integration with the evolving electricity market landscape.

A. Monitoring/Visualization

Present-day control centers rely on state estimators fueled by data from SCADA systems and remote terminal units (RTUs). However, the future control centers are poised to transition towards utilizing state-of-the-art phasor measurement units (PMUs) for enhanced system monitoring. PMU-based state measurements promise greater efficiency and robustness, providing synchronized phasor signals for accurate determination of system variables, notably voltage angles. This advancement is expected to streamline the monitoring process and improve overall system reliability.

In terms of visualization, existing technology primarily employs one-line diagrams that lack precise geographic context and often depict only a limited subset of system buses. Future control centers will leverage wide-area geographical information systems (GIS) to provide comprehensive visual representations of the entire grid, extending beyond control area boundaries. Integrating state measurement data with GIS will enhance situational awareness, allowing operators to make informed decisions and mitigate risks across a broader operational scope.

Furthermore, future visualization technologies will facilitate real-time display of critical system stability measures, such as voltage stability margin and frequency changes. By incorporating advanced monitoring capabilities alongside wide-area GIS data, operators will gain insights into potential grid disturbances and proactively address emerging challenges, thereby ensuring grid stability and resilience.

B. Analytical Capabilities

In addition to monitoring, smart control centers will boast advanced analytical capabilities to enable predictive maintenance, fault detection, and optimal grid operation. Machine learning algorithms and data analytics tools will be employed to analyze vast amounts of operational data in real-time, identifying patterns and anomalies to preemptively address potential issues. These analytical insights will empower operators to optimize grid performance, minimize downtime, and enhance overall system reliability.

C. Controllability

Future control centers will exhibit enhanced controllability through the integration of advanced control algorithms and real-time grid monitoring. Decentralized control schemes will enable seamless coordination between substations and control centers, ensuring efficient power flow management and rapid response to grid disturbances. Additionally, intelligent automation technologies will facilitate self-healing capabilities, allowing the grid to dynamically reconfigure in response to disruptions and restore service with minimal intervention.

D. Integration with Electricity Market

The evolving electricity market landscape necessitates close integration between smart control centers and market operations. While this paper focuses primarily on control center functionalities, future developments will explore synergies between grid control and market mechanisms to optimize grid operation, facilitate demand response, and ensure equitable access to electricity resources. By aligning grid management strategies with market dynamics, smart control centers will play a pivotal role in fostering a more resilient, efficient, and sustainable energy ecosystem.

V. REFERENCES

[1]. Office of Electric Transmission and Distribution, U.S. Dept. Energy, “Grid 2030: A national version for electricity’s second 100 years,” Jul. 2003.

[2]. B. Kuri and F. Li, “Valuing emissions from electricity towards a low carbon economy,” in Proc. IEEE PES Gen. Meet. 2005, pp. 53–59.

[3]. M. Hoffman and T. U. Daim, “Building energy efficiency technology roadmaps: A case of Bonneville Power Administration (BPA),” in Proc. Technol. Manag. Global Future, Jul. 8–13, 2006, vol. 3, pp. 1503–1527.

[4]. A. Chuang and M. McGranaghan, “Functions of a local controller to coordinate distributed resources in a smart grid,” in Proc. IEEE PES Gen. Meet. 2008, pp. 1–6.

[5]. E. Lambert, J. Fremont, and C. Bouquet, “Method and applications of IEC common information model standard for distribution operations: A path towards smart grids development,” in Proc. IET-CIRED Seminar SmartGrids Distrib., Jun. 23–24, 2008, pp. 1–4.

[6]. R. L. King, “Information services for smart grids,” in Proc. IEEE PES Gen. Meet. 2008, pp. 1–6.

[7]. F. Lobo, A. Cabello, A. Lopez, D. Mora, and R. Mora, “Distribu- tion network as communication system,” in Proc. IET-CIRED

Seminar SmartGrids Distrib., Jun. 23–24, 2008, pp. 1–4.
[8]. EPRI Intelligrid [Online]. Available: http://intelligrid.epri.com/
[9]. M. McGranaghan, D. Von Dollen, P. Myrda, and E. Gunther, "Utility experience with developing a smart grid roadmap," in Proc. IEEE PES Gen. Meet. 2008, pp. 1–5.
[10]. European SmartGrids Technology Platform, European Commis- sion, 2006 [Online]. Available: http://ec.europa.eu/research/en-ergy/pdf/smartgrids_en.pdf
[11]. Towards smart power networks, European Commission, 2005 [Online]. Available: http://ec.europa.eu/research/energy/pdf/to-wards_smartpower_en.pdf
[12]. T. F. Garrity, "Getting Smart," IEEE Power Energy Mag., vol. 6, no. 2, pp. 38–45, Mar.–Apr. 2008.
[13]. S. M. Amin and B. F. Wollenberg, "Toward a smart grid: Power de- livery for the 21st century," IEEE Power Energy Mag., vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 34–41, Sep.–Oct. 2005.
[14]. J. R. Roncero, "Integration is key to Smart Grid management," in Proc. IET-CIRED Seminar SmartGrids for Distrib., Jun. 23–24, 2008, pp. 1–4.
[15]. L. H. Tsoukalas and R. Gao, "From smart grids to an energy internet: Assumptions, architectures and requirements," in Proc. 3rd Int. Conf. Electr. Utility Deregulation Restructuring Power Technol., Apr. 6–9, 2008, pp. 94–98.
[16]. D. G. Hart, "Using AMI to realize the Smart Grid," in Proc. IEEE PES Gen. Meet. 2008, pp. 1–6.
[17]. G. B. Sheble, "Smart Grid Millionaire," IEEE Power Energy Mag., vol. 6, no. 1, pp. 22–28, Jan.–Feb. 2008.
[18]. M. G. Lauby and W. P. Malcolm, "North American industry trends sup- porting intelligent grids," in Proc. Int. Conf. Intell. Syst. Appl. Power Syst., Nov. 5–8, 2007, pp. 1–6.
[19]. C. Walton, T. Green, and T. Woods, "Supergen FutureNet and FlexNet," in Proc. IET-CIRED Seminar SmartGrids Distrib., Jun. 23–24, 2008, pp. 1–4.
[20]. R. Krebs, B. M. Buchholz, Z. A. Styczynski, K. Rudion, C. Heyde, and
[21]. Y. Sassnick, "Vision 2020—Security of the network operation today and in the future. German experiences," in Proc. IEEE PES Gen. Meet. 2008, pp. 1–6.
[22]. G. M. Huang and L. Zhao, "Measurement based voltage stability mon- itoring of power system," Power Syst. Eng. Res. Ctr., 2001 [Online]. Available: http://www.pserc.wisc.edu/documents/publications/papers/ 2001_general_publications/indicator.pdf
[23]. J. H. Chow, A. Chakrabortty, M. Arcak, B. Bhargava, and A. Salazar, "Synchronized phasor data based energy function analysis of dominant power transfer paths in large power systems," IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 22, no. 2, pp. 727–734, May 2007.
[24]. M. Begovic, D. Novosel, D. Karlsson, C. Henville, and G. Michel, "Wide-area protection and emergency control," Proc. IEEE, vol. 93, no. 5, pp. 876–891, May 2005.
[25]. P. Kundur, Power System Stability and Control. New York: McGraw- Hill, 1994.
[26]. A. J. Wood and B. E. Wollenberg, Power Generation, Operation and Control, 2nd ed. New York: Wiley, 1996.
[27]. S. H. Horowitz and A. G. Phadke, Power System Relaying, 2nd ed. New York: Wiley, 1995.
[28]. F. Li, Z. Chen, L. Fan, and P. Zhang, "Toward a self-healing protec- tion and control system," presented at the North Amer. Power Symp. (NAPS) 2008, Calgary, AB, Canada.

[29]. G. T. Heydt, C. C. Liu, A. G. Phadke, and V. Vittal, "Solutions for the crisis in electric power supply," IEEE Comput. Appl. Power, vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 22–30, Jul. 2001.

[30]. F. F. Wu, K. Moslehi, and A. Bose, "Power system control centers: Past, present, and future," Proc. IEEE, vol. 93, no. 11, pp. 1890–1908, Nov. 2005.

[31]. D. Divan and H. Johal, "Distributed FACTS—A new concept for real- izing grid power flow control," IEEE Trans. Power Electron., vol. 22, no. 6, pp. 2253–2260, Nov. 2007.

[32]. H. Johal and D. Divan, "Design considerations for series-connected distributed FACTS converters," IEEE Trans. Ind. Appl., vol. 43, no. 6, pp. 1609–1618, Nov./Dec. 2007.

[33]. D. Divan and J. Sastry, "Inverter-less STATCOMs," in Proc. 39th IEEE Power Electron. Specialists Conf., Rhodes, Greece, Jun. 15–19, 2008, pp. 1372–1377.

[34]. D. Divan and J. Sastry, "Controllable network transformers," in Proc. 39th IEEE Power Electron. Specialists Conf., Rhodes, Greece, Jun. 15–19, 2008, pp. 2340–2345.

[35]. R. K. Smith, P. G. Slade, M. Sarkosi, E. J. Stacey, J. J. Bonk, and H. Behta, "Solid state distribution current limiter and circuit breaker: Ap- plication requirements and control strategies," IEEE Trans. Power Del., vol. 8, no. 3, pp. 1155–1164, Jul. 1993.

[36]. E. R. Ronan, S. D. Sudhoff, S. F. Glover, and D. L. Galloway, "A power electronic-based distribution transformer," IEEE Trans. Power Del., vol. 17, no. 2, pp. 537–543, Apr. 2002.

[37]. B. Kovacevic, "Solid state circuit breakers," Micrel Inc., Appl. Note 5, 1997 [Online]. Available: http://www.micrel.com/_PDF/App-Notes/an-5.pdf

[38]. S. M. Amin and B. F. Wollenberg, "Toward a smart grid: Power de- livery for the 21st century," IEEE Power Energy Mag., vol. 3, no. 5, pp. 34–41, Sep./Oct. 2005.

[39]. Y. Yang, F. Lambert, and D. Divan, "A survey on technologies for implementing sensor networks for power delivery systems," in Proc. IEEE PES Gen. Meet. 2007, Tampa, FL, pp. 1–8.

[40]. Y. Yang, D. Divan, R. G. Harley, and T. G. Habetler, "Power line sen- sornet—A new concept for power grid monitoring," in Proc. IEEE PES Gen. Meet. 2006, Montreal, QC, Canada, pp. 1–8.

[41]. Transmission Technology Road Map, Technology Innovation Office, Bonneville Power Admin., Sep. 2006.

[42]. G. Lu, J. Liu, and C. Zhang, "The technology development of substa- tion digitization," (in Chinese) Power Syst. Technol., vol. 30, Suppl., pp. 499–504, Oct. 2006.

[43]. H.-M. Kim, J.-J. Lee, and D.-J. Kang, "A platform for smart substa- tions," in Proc. Future Gener. Commun. Netw. Conf., Dec. 6–8, 2007, vol. 1, pp. 579–582.

[44]. T. S. Sidhu and P. K. Gangadharan, "Control and automation of power system substation using IEC61850 communication," in Proc. 2005 IEEE Conf. Control Appl., Toronto, ON, Canada, pp. 1331–1336.

[45]. C. H. Hauser, D. E. Bakken, I. Dionysiou, K. H. Gjermundrød, V. S. Irava, J. Helkey, and A. Bose, "Security, trust, and QoS in next-gener- ation control and communication for large power systems," Int. J. Crit. Infrastruct., vol. 4, no. 1/2, pp. 3–16, 2008.

[46]. K. Vu, M. M. Begovic, D. Novosel, and M. M. Saha, "Use of local mea- surements to estimate voltage-stability margin," IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 14, no. 3, pp. 1029–1035, Aug. 1999.

[47]. B. Milosevic and M. Begovic, "Voltage-stability protection and control using a wide-area network of phasor measurements," IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 121–127, Feb. 2003.

[48]. J. A. Kumar, S. S. Venkata, and M. J. Damborg, "Adaptive transmission protection: Concepts

and computational issues," IEEE Trans. Power Del., vol. 4, no. 1, pp. 177–185, Jan. 1989.

[49]. P. M. Anderson and B. K. LeReverend, "Industry experience with spe- cial protection schemes," IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 11, no. 3, pp. 1166–1179, Aug. 1996.

[50]. P. A. Crossley and P. G. Mclaren, "Distance protection based on trav- elling waves," IEEE Trans. Power App. Syst., vol. PAS-102, no. 9, pp. 2971–2983, Sep. 1983.

[51]. R. Cosse, Jr., J. E. Bowen, H. T. Combs, D. G. Dunn, M. A. Hildreth, and A. Pilcher, "Smart industrial substations," IEEE Ind. Appl. Mag., vol. 11, no. 2, pp. 12–20, Mar.–Apr. 2005.

[52]. Y. Han and Y. H. Song, "Condition monitoring techniques for electrical equipment-a literature survey," IEEE Trans. Power Del., vol. 18, no. 1, pp. 4–13, Jan. 2003.

[53]. D. J. Lawrence, L. Z. Cabeza, and L. T. Hochberg, "Development of an advanced transmission line fault location system II: Algorithm de- velopment and simulation," IEEE Trans. Power Del., vol. 7, no. 4, pp. 1972–1983, Oct. 1992.

[54]. A. P. Meliopoulos, G. J. Cokkinides, F. Galvan, and B. Fardanesh, "Distributed state estimator—Advances and demonstration," in Proc. 41st Hawaii Int. Conf. Syst. Sci., Waikoloa, HI, Jan. 7–10, 2008, p. 163.

[55]. Z. Zhong, C. Xu, and Y. Liu et al., "Power system frequency moni- toring network (FNET) implementation," IEEE Trans. Power Syst., vol. 20, no. 4, pp. 1914–1921, Nov. 2005.

[56]. T. Yang, H. Sun, and A. Bose, "Two-level PMU-based linear state esti- mator," in Proc. IEEE PES Power Syst. Conf. Expo., Seattle, WA, Mar. 16–18, 2009, pp. 1–6.